

PREDICTING MISLEADING FINANCIAL STATEMENT IN MALAYSIA

By

NOR AFIFAH SHABANI

February, 2011

Thesis Submitted to the College of Business,

Universiti Utara Malaysia,

In fulfillment of the requirement for the Degree of Master of Science (International Accounting)



KOLEJ PERNIAGAAN
(College of Business)
Universiti Utara Malaysia

PERAKUAN KERJA KERTAS PROJEK
(Certification of Project Paper)

Saya, mengaku bertandatangan, memperakukan bahawa
(I, the undersigned, certified that)

NOR AFIFAH SHABANI (806082)

Calon untuk Ijazah Sarjana

(Candidate for the degree of) **MASTER OF SCIENCE (INTERNATIONAL ACCOUNTING)**

telah mengemukakan kertas projek yang bertajuk

(has presented his/her project paper of the following title)

PREDICTING MISCEADING FINANCIAL STATEMENT IN MALAYSIA

Seperti yang tercatat di muka surat tajuk dan kulit kertas projek
(as it appears on the title page and front cover of the project paper)

Bahawa kertas projek tersebut boleh diterima dari segi bentuk serta kandungan dan meliputi bidang ilmu dengan memuaskan.

(that the project paper acceptable in the form and content and that a satisfactory knowledge of the field is covered by the project paper).

Nama Penyelia : **DR. MOHD 'ATEF BIN YUSOF**
(Name of Supervisor)

Tandatangan :
(Signature)

Tarikh : **16 FEBRUARY 2011**
(Date)

PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this study in partial fulfillment of the requirement for a postgraduate degree from Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the University's Library may take it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying this study in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purpose may be granted by my supervisor or in their absence, by the Dean of the Research and Innovation. It is understood that any copying publication or the use of this study or parts thereof for financial gain not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my thesis.

Request for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this study, in whole or in part, should be addressed to:

Dean of Research and Innovation

Universiti Utara Malaysia

06010 Sintok

Kedah Darul Aman

Malaysia

ABSTRACT

This study aims to examine the ability of financial ratios in predicting misleading financial statements, specifically in Malaysian environment. This study uses nine financial ratios; seven which are commonly used in previous studies (TLTA, NITA, NIS, CATA, RTA, WCTA & STA) and two additional ratios which have never been tested in previous studies (CFOTA & CFOS). Two time periods were studied to compare the usefulness of financial ratios in predicting misleading financial statements for the first year fraud deem to exist and a year prior it comes out clear. Univariate and multivariate such as independent sample test and logistic regression technique were used to analyze the data. Results from univariate test show six financial ratios are significant while results from logistic regression analysis show that three ratios are significant for the misleading year and two ratios for preceding year. NITA is the only ratio that is significant for all three tests conducted. Thus, it is concluded that financial ratios are able to predict misleading financial statements.

Keywords: Financial ratios, Misleading financial statements

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah, all praise is due to Allah S.W.T, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful, who has taught me what I knew not. I thank to Allah SWT for the blessing and guidance given to me.

I would like to express my deepest appreciation to my supervisor, Dr. Mohd. 'Atef Md Yusof for all the advice, guidance and motivation given throughout this thesis. His frankness and constructive ideas help me a lot in the course of my project and without his great experience and vast knowledge, this thesis could not be completed within the time frame.

I want to whole heartedly give my appreciations to my beloved mother, Puan Jamilah Ahmad, and husband, Shaharil Mad Saad, for giving me the unlimited supports and motivation when I faced the difficulties in carrying out this project.

Last but not least, thank you also to all lecturers, staffs, friends and all who have directly and indirectly involved in the production of this project. Your helps and cooperation will never be forgotten.

Thank You.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PERMISSION TO USE	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	viii

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1	Background of the study	1
1.2	Problem statement	6
1.3	Research question	7
1.4	Research objectives	7
1.5	Significant of the study	8
1.6	Scope and limitations of the study	9

CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Introduction	10
2.1.1	Misleading financial statements	10
2.1.2	Nature of financial statement fraud	12
2.1.3	Analytical procedures	16
2.1.4	Financial ratios	18
2.2	Hypotheses development	23
2.3	Research framework	28

CHAPTER 3: METHOD

3.1	Data collection	29
3.1.1	Selection of companies with misleading financial statements	30
3.1.2	Selection of companies with non-misleading financial statements	33
3.2	Research design	35
3.3	Operational definition	36
3.4	Analysis	38

CHAPTER 4: FINDINGS

4.1	Introduction	39
4.2	Descriptive analysis	40
4.3	Independent sample test analysis	43
4.4	Logistic regression	46
4.5	Conclusion	51

CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION

5.1	Introduction	52
5.2	Implication, recommendation and concluding remarks	54
	References	55

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
Table 1	Companies charged by the SC for fraudulent financial reporting	4
Table 2	Previous studies on financial ratios	20
Table 3	Common ratios used to detect fraud	22
Table 4	Classification of misleading companies	32
Table 5	Year classification	32
Table 6	Matched-pair based on Bursa Malaysia's sectors	34
Table 7	Descriptive statistics	40
Table 8	Independent sample test	44
Table 9	Classification table for misleading year	47
Table 10	Variables in the equation for misleading year	48
Table 11	Classification table for preceding year	49
Table 12	Variables in the equation for preceding year	50
Table 13	Results of the study	51

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

TLTA	Total liability over total asset
NITA	Net income over total asset
NIS	Net income over sales
CATA	Current asset over total asset
RTA	Account receivables over total asset
WCTA	Working capital over total asset
STA	Revenue over total asset
CFOTA	Cash from operation over total asset
CFOS	Cash from operation over revenue

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the study

Each year, businesses in the United States suffer more than \$600 billion losses due to corporate fraud (Albrecht & Albrecht, 2002). During the years 2000-2002 alone, numerous revelations of corporate wrongdoing, including financial statement fraud, in the United States has resulted in \$15 trillion decline in the aggregate market value of all public company stock (Albrecht, Albrecht, Albrecht, & Zimbelman, 2009). As the consequences of the fraudulent activities, investors in the United States lost their confidence in the capital market and most of the fraudulent organizations suffer from bankruptcies and excessive debts. Among the most notable companies bankruptcies due to financial statement frauds are WorldCom (\$102 billion), Enron (\$64 billion), and Global Crossing (\$26 billion). Since then, the Department of Justice (DoJ) has obtained nearly 1,300 fraud convictions (Rezaee & Riley, 2010). The fraud convictions include more than 200 chief executive officers (CEO) and corporate presidents, more than 120 corporate vice presidents and more than 50 chief financial officers (CFO).

The contents of
the thesis is for
internal user
only

References

- Abbott, J., Park, Y., & Parker, S. (2000). The effect of audit committee activity and independence on corporate fraud. *Managerial Finance* , 55-67.
- ACFE. (1995). *Cooking the Books: What Every Accountant Should Know about Fraud*. Austin: Association of Certified Fraud Examiners.
- AICPA. (1988). *Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS) No. 56: Analytical Procedures*. New York: American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.
- AICPA. (1997). *Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS) No. 82: Considerations of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit*. New York: American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.
- AICPA. (2002). *Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS) No. 99: Consideration of Fraud in the Financial Statement Audit*. New York: American Institute of Certified Public Accountant.
- Albrecht, W. S., & Albrecht, C. C. (2002). Root Out Financial Deception. *Journal of Accountancy* , 30-34.
- Albrecht, W. S., Albrecht, C. C., Albrecht, C. O., & Zimbelman, M. F. (2009). *Fraud Examination*. Canada: South-Western.
- Beasley, M. (1996). An empirical analysis of the relation between board of director composition and financial statement fraud. *The Accounting Review* , 443-466.

Beneish, M. (1999). The detection of earnings manipulation. *Financial Analyst's Journal* , 24-36.

Blocher, E., & Cooper, J. (1988). A study of auditors' analytical review performance. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory* , 1-28.

Calderon, T., & Green, B. (1994). Signaling fraud by using analytical procedures. *Ohio CPA Journal* , 27-38.

Chen, Y., & Leith, R. (1999). The error detection of structural analysis procedures: a simulation study. *Auditing: A Journal of Practices and Theory* , 35-69.

Christie, A. (1990). Aggregation of test statistics: an evaluation of the evidence on contracting and size hypotheses. *Journal of Accounting and Economics* , 15-36.

Colbert, J. (1994). Analytical procedures for management accountants and auditors. *Managerial Auditing Journal* , 3-7.

Comer, J. (1998). *Corporate Fraud*. Aldershot: Gower Publishing.

Cook, T., & Grove, H. (2004). Statistical analysis of financial ratio red flags. *Oil, Gas & Energy Journal* , 321-346.

Fanning, M., & Cogger, K. (1998). Detection of management fraud: a neutral network approach. *International Journal of Intelligent Systems in Accounting, Finance and Management* , 21-41.

Feroz, E., Park, K., & Pastena, V. (1991). The financial and market effects of the SEC's accounting and auditing enforcement release. *Journal of Accounting Research* , 107-142.

Grove, H., & Basilico, E. (2008). Fraudulent financial reporting detection: key ratios plus corporate governance factors. *International Studies of Management & Organization* , 10-42.

Hansen, J., McDonald, J., Messier, W., & Bell, T. (1996). A generalized qualitative response model and the analysis of management fraud. *Management Science* , 1022-1032.

Kaminski, K. A., Wetzel, T. S., & Guan, L. (2004). Can financial ratios detect fraudulent financial reporting? *Managerial Auditing Journal* , 15-28.

Kaminski, K. (2004). Can financial ratios detect fraudulent financial reporting. *Managerial Auditing Journal* , 15-28.

Kinney, W. (1987). Attention-direction analytical review using accounting ratios: a case study. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory* , 59-73.

KPMG. (2009). *KPMG Malaysia Fraud Survey Report 2009*. Malaysia.

Kreutzfeldt, R., & Wallace, W. (1986). Error characteristics in audit populations: their profile and relationship to environment factors. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory* , 20-43.

Law, S., & Willet, R. (2004). The ability of analytical procedures to signal transaction errors. *Managerial Auditing Journal* , 869-888.

MIA. (2008). *ISA 240: The Auditor's Responsibilities Relating to Fraud in an Audit of Financial Statements*. Kuala Lumpur: Malaysian Institute of Accountant.

MIA. (2009). *ISA 520: Analytical Procedures*. Kuala Lumpur: Malaysian Institute of Accountant.

Persons, O. S. (1995). Using financial statement data to identify factors associated with fraudulent financial reporting. *Journal of Applied Business Research* .

Persons, O. (1995). Using financial statement data to identify factors associated with fraudulent financial reporting. *Journal of Applied Business Research* , 38-46.

Porter, B., & Cameron, A. (1987). Company fraud-what price the auditor? *Accountant's Journal* , 44-47.

Revsine, L., Collins, D., & Johnson, W. (2005). *Financial Reporting and Analysis*. New Jersey: Pearson Education International.

Rezaee, Z. (2005). Causes, consequences, and deterrence of financial statement fraud. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting* , 277-298.

Rezaee, Z., & Riley, R. (2010). *Financial Statement Fraud: Prevention and Detection*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Securities Commission. (2011). *Securities Commission Malaysia*. Retrieved 7 January, 2011, from <http://www.sc.com.my/sub.asp?pageid=&menuid=229&newsid=&linkid=&type=>

Spathis, C. (2002). Detecting false financial statements using published data: some evidence from Greece. *Managerial Auditing Journal* , 179-191.

Spathis, C. T. (2002). Detecting false financial statements using published data: Some evidence from Greece. *Managerial Auditing Journal* , 179-191.

Thornhill, W. T. (1995). *Forensic Accounting - How to Investigate Financial Fraud*. New York: Richard D. Irwin.

Wells, J. T. (1997). *Occupational Fraud and Abuse*. Austin: Obsidian Publishing Company.

Wheeler, S., & Pany, K. (1996). Assessing the performance of analytical procedures : A best case scenario. *The Accounting Review* , 557-577.

Worthy, F. (1984). Manipulating profits, how it's done? *Fortune* , 50-54.

